IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Pa	atent Application of RE JC69	BOX: NON-FEE AMENDMENT						
FREEL	/ 31)	Group Art Unit: 1764						
Applica	ition No.: 09/490,147	Examiner: Myers						
Filed: J	January 24, 2000							
	LOW EMISSION, NON-OXYGENATED) FUEL COMPOSITION)							
	AMENDMENT/REPLY TRAI	NSMITTAL LETTER						
	t Commissioner for Patents gton, D.C. 20231							
Sir:								
Enc	closed is a reply for the above-identified patent	application.						
[]	[] A Petition for Extension of Time is also enclosed.							
[]	[] A Terminal Disclaimer and a check for [] \$55.00 (248) [] \$110.00 (148) to cover the requisite Government fee are also enclosed.							
[]	Also enclosed is	·						
[]	statement(s) claiming small entity status [] are also enclosed [] were submitted previously.							
[]	Applicant(s) request continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 and enclose the [] \$345.00 (279) [] \$690.00 (179) fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e).							
•	[] Applicant(s) previously submitted, or requested.							
[]	A Request for Entry and Consideration of Submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a) (146/246) is also enclosed.							
[x]	A Request for Entry and Consideration of Su (146/246) is also enclosed. No additional claim fee is required.	# ₋						
		(5/00)						

[] An additional claim fee is required, and is calculated as shown below:

	No. OF CLAIMS	HIGHEST NO. OF CLAIMS PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	ADDT'L Fee
Total Claims		MINUS =		× \$18.00 (103) =	
Independent Claims		MINUS =		× \$78.00 (102) =	
If Amendment adds m	ultiple depende	ent claims, add \$260	0.00 (104)	[(102)	
Total Amendment Fee					
If small entity status is	claimed, subtr	act 50% of Total A	mendment Fe	Δ	
TOTAL ADDITIONA	L FEE DUE	FOR THIS AMENI	DMENT		

[]	A claim fee in the	amount of \$	is enclosed.
[]	Charge \$	to Deposit Account N	o. 02-4800.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

By:

Registration No. 28,510

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: September 12, 2000

Attorney's Docket No. 005950-49

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

John FREEL et al

Group Art Unit: 1764

Application No.: 09/490,147

Examiner: H. Myers

Filed: January 24, 2000

For: LOW EMISSION,

NON-OXYGENATED FUEL COMPOSITION

RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In complete response to the Office Action issued on June 12, 2000, applicants provide the following comments.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejects all of the claims of record under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Jessup et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,288,393) and Kaneko et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,401,280) or Fletcher et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,346,609). For the following reasons, however, the Examiner's rejection is most respectfully traversed by applicants.

The presently claimed invention provides one with an unleaded gasoline fuel which is not in compliance with the California Predictive Model for Phase 2 California reformulated gasoline, yet still offers good (low) emissions -- not predicted by the California predictive model. The gasoline is also substantially free of oxygenates as recited